Abstract—In designing large-sized volume type phased array coils for human head imaging at ultrahigh fields, e.g., 7T, minimizing electromagnetic coupling among array elements is technically challenging. A new decoupling method based on induced current compensation or elimination (ICE) for a microstrip line planar array has recently been proposed. In this study, an eight-channel transmit/receive volume array with ICE-decoupled loop elements was built and investigated to demonstrate its feasibility and robustness for human head imaging at 7T. Isolation between adjacent loop elements was better than -25 dB with a human head load. The worst-case of the isolation between all of the elements was about -17.5 dB. All of the MRI experiments were performed on a 7T whole-body human MR scanner. Images of the phantom and human head were acquired and g-factor maps were measured and calculated to evaluate the performance of the coil array. Compared with the conventional capacitively decoupled array, the ICE-decoupled array demonstrated improved parallel imaging ability and had a higher SNR. The experimental results indicate that the transceiver array design with ICE decoupling technique might be a promising solution to designing high performance transmit/receive coil arrays for human head imaging at ultrahigh fields.

Index Terms—Decoupling, high field magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), human head, induced current compensation or elimination (ICE), loop, parallel imaging, phased array, radio-frequency (RF) coil.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, an increasing number of 7T and 9.4T imaging systems are being used for human experiments, because high magnetic fields have advantages in terms of high signal-to-noise ratio, image resolution, and improved image contrast [1]–[6]. The Larmor frequency ω increases with magnetic field strength. The resultant high frequency makes the design of large-sized radio-frequency (RF) coils challenging. Phased array coils perform well in designing large-sized coils at ultrahigh fields with parallel imaging, which can reduce data acquisition time while maintaining imaging quality [2], [7]–[14]. The main challenge in designing phased array coils is to minimize the electromagnetic coupling between resonant elements. The resonance peak is usually split because of strong coupling between adjacent elements, which is especially observed for traditional L/C resonant loops without shielding. Several methods are employed to reduce mutual coupling, including the use of low input impedance preamplifiers, overlapping adjacent loop elements [15], transformers [16] and L/C decoupling networks [17]–[20]. However, preamplifier decoupling [21] is not readily feasible for transceiver arrays, and overlap decoupling increases the geometry (g-) factor in the overlapped area [22], [23]. L/C decoupling networks are not robust at high fields because the values of the lumped components are too sensitive to the load [19], [20].

A new decoupling method based on induced current compensation or elimination (ICE) or magnetic wall technique has recently been proposed and has demonstrated the ability to decouple strongly coupled resonant elements[24]–[29]. The method has been successfully demonstrated in planar coil arrays. Compared with planar coil arrays, cylindrical volume coils often have stronger electromagnetic coupling between nonadjacent or opposite resonant elements. In this study, we aim to test the feasibility of the ICE decoupling method in cylindrical volume coil array designs for human head imaging at ultrahigh magnetic fields. An eight-channel transmit/receive (TX/RX) loop-array with this decoupling method was designed and built for human head MR imaging at 7T. Loop resonators were chosen as the coil elements because of their popularity in MR imaging and because of more pronounced decoupling difficulties compared with other type of elements, e.g., microstrip resonators. We evaluated coil performance by bench tests including the Q value, s-parameter matrix and reflection coefficient s11 of the coil array. The feasibility of this coil array was also validated through MR imaging experiments in phantom and human subjects. Additionally, the coil performance including the SNR and parallel imaging capability was evaluated and compared with the conventional capacitively decoupled loop array [30]–[33] in this study.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Design and Construction of the ICE-Decoupled Coil

The ICE decoupling method has been investigated by eigenvalue/eigenvector analysis in previous work [24]. Consider a
The eight-channel array coil was mounted on a cylindrical acrylic former with an outer diameter of 25 cm, as shown in Fig. 1(a) and (c). The permittivity of the acrylic used in this work is approximately 2.7 with a loss tangent of at 1 MHz. A rectangular loop (length 17 cm, width 6.8 cm) with six capacitors (one tuning capacitor, four distributed capacitors, and one matching capacitor) was used as the coil element in each loop. One smaller rectangular loop (length 17 cm, width 2.8 cm) with six distributed capacitors, referred to as the decoupling loop, was placed between adjacent coil elements to reduce the mutual coupling. All coil elements and decoupling loops were built using printed circuit boards to ensure design accuracy. The conductor is 5 mm wide and 100 μm thick. All of the capacitors used in the coil array are ATC fixed capacitors (American Technical Ceramics, Huntington Station, NY, USA). The values of $C_{a1}$, $C_{a2}$, $C_{b1}$, $C_{b2}$, $C_{d1a1}$, $C_{d1a2}$, $C_{d1b1}$, and $C_{d1b2}$ [as shown in Fig. 1(c)] are: $C_{a1} = C_{a2} = C_{b1} = C_{b2} = 3.7 \mu \text{F}$, $C_{d1a1} = C_{d1a2} = C_{d1b1} = C_{d1b2} = 10 \mu \text{F}$. Tuning capacitor $C_{t1}$, matching capacitor $C_{m1}$, and decoupling capacitors $C_{d1}$, $C_{d2}$ were individually selected to achieve a good matching and de-coupling performance for each loop. The values of $C_{t1}$, $C_{m1}$, $C_{d1}$, and $C_{d2}$ were slightly different for all of the loop elements and the inconsistency was less than 10% when loaded with a human head.

The coil was used for both transmission and reception and was matched to 50 Ω and tuned to 297.2 MHz, which was the proton Larmor frequency of our 7T system. In this study, a parallel shunt capacitive network was used to match the coil to 50 Ω. An eight-way Wilkinson power splitter split a single RF signal from the power amplifier into eight transmit sources and drove eight coil elements with a 45° phase increment between adjacent coil elements. Eight transmit/receive switches and conventional 50 Ω preamplifiers were made to operate the array coil. RF cable traps were placed between the cables and coil loops to avoid possible cable resonance at the high frequency of 297.2 MHz.

A conventional capacitively decoupled array with exactly the same dimensions as the ICE-decoupled array was built for comparison, as shown in Fig. 1(b). Two capacitors with a value of about 1.5 pF were used to remove coupling between adjacent coil elements. Additionally, a single loop, a two-channel ICE-decoupled loop array and a two-channel capacitively decoupled loop array were built for further studies, as shown in Fig. 2. All of the coil elements and the decoupling loop had exactly the same dimensions as the eight-channel ICE-decoupled array.

B. Bench Tests

The reflection coefficient $s_{11}$ and transmission coefficient $s_{21}$ of the ICE-decoupled array and conventional capacitively decoupled array were measured using an Agilent E5071C network analyzer. The reflection coefficient was also used to calculate the unloaded Q value ($Q_{UL}$) and loaded Q value ($Q_L$) of the coil. As demonstrated in previous work [32], [34], the impedance of the entire coil deviates from 50 Ω even when all of the loop elements are tuned and matched at desired frequency because of the limited decoupling between loop elements. This causes obvious power reflection and decreases the transmission efficiency. To show the transmit performance of this coil, the reflection coefficient of the entire coil for the load (with a human head) was measured at the input port of the power splitter, as indicated in Fig. 3.
Fig. 4. $s_{11}$ and $s_{21}$ measurements of the 8-channel ICE-decoupled transceiver coil array (left) and 8-channel capacitively decoupled transceiver coil array (right) loaded with a human head. The mean isolations between two adjacent coil elements of the ICE-decoupled array and the capacitively decoupled array were $-27.0 \text{ dB}$ and $-16.5 \text{ dB}$, respectively.

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter and Value</th>
<th>Single Loop</th>
<th>ICE-Decoupled Two Loops</th>
<th>Capacitively Decoupled Two Loops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_{21} \text{ (dB)}$</td>
<td>$*$</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{\text{load}}$</td>
<td>$*$</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. MRI Experiments

All of the MRI experiments on the phantom and human head were performed on a whole-body MRI scanner (7T MAGNETOM, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). The human MRI experimental protocol was approved by the local Institutional Review Board (IRB). To demonstrate the decoupling performance of the ICE-decoupled coil, the image profiles and B1 maps of the water phantom in the transverse plane using the individual single elements (i.e., without any other elements) and decoupled array elements (one element excited with other elements terminated with 50 \Omega terminators) were compared. The cylindrical water phantom with an outer diameter of 16 cm and a length of 37 cm was placed at the center of the coil. The electromagnetic parameters of the water phantom were measured using a DAK-12 dielectric probe (Speag, Switzerland): conductivity $\sigma = 0.59 \text{ s/m}$; relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r = 79$. In this comparison, the experimental setup, including imaging sequence and parameters, was exactly the same. The sequence and parameters used for imaging acquisition are GRE, flip angle (FA) = 15 deg, TR = 8.6 ms, TE = 4 ms, FOV = 280 × 280 mm², matrix = 460 × 512, slice thickness = 3 mm, bandwidth = 260 Hz/pixel, and phase encoding is in the y direction. The B1 map was measured with a Turbo FLASH method and scaled to angle [35].

Human head images using a hyper-echo TSE sequence were obtained using the ICE-decoupled array coil. The parameters of the hyper-echo TSE are FA = 60 deg, TR = 10.25 s, TE = 64 ms, TA = 2.55 min × 2, FOV = 208 × 292 mm², acceleration factor = 3, matrix = 384 × 384, slice thickness = 3 mm, slice spacing = 0.9 mm, bandwidth = 362 Hz/pixel, and phase encoding is in the x direction. To further evaluate the performance of the coil array for human head imaging, combined GRE images and sub-images from each coil element in transverse and sagittal planes were obtained. Imaging parameters used are FA = 25 deg, TR = 120 ms, TE = 6 ms, FOV = 250 × 250 mm², matrix = 256 × 256, slice thickness = 5 mm, bandwidth = 260 Hz/pixel. Combined GRE image of the capacitively decoupled array in the transverse plane with the same sequence and parameters was shown for signal-to-noise (SNR) comparison. The SNR was determined by a previously reported method [1], where signals were measured from a square of 20 × 20 pixels in each of the five positions at the center and periphery of the images. To demonstrate their parallel imaging capabilities, g-factor maps and average g-factors were calculated using an RF coil array design and analysis software Musaik (Speag, Switzerland). Before human head imaging, we performed safety test for the coils in MRI experiments and measured the temperature of a 6.5 kg portion of pork for an hour using an Opsens fiber-optic thermometer (Quebec, Canada). With the sequences we used in the paper, the temperature of the pork meat was kept stable between 19.2° to 19.4° at all selected points, which is slightly lower than room temperature.

### III. Results

#### A. Bench Tests

Table I lists the $s_{21}$ parameters and Q values that were measured from a single loop, a two-channel ICE-decoupled array and a two-channel capacitively decoupled array. Isolation of the ICE-decoupled array was better than $-25 \text{ dB}$ for both the unloaded and loaded conditions (water phantom was placed 4 cm below the array), whereas the isolation of the capacitively decoupled array was dependent on sample-element distance, about $-20 \text{ dB}$ with loading and $-15 \text{ dB}$ without loading. The limited unloaded isolation of the capacitively decoupled array also causes a reduction in $Q_{UL}$ (from 110 to 82). The $Q_{UL}$ of the ICE-decoupled array was even slightly better than that of the single loop, which is probably because of the shielding effect of the decoupling loop.

The $s_{11}$ and $s_{21}$ matrices of the eight-channel ICE-decoupled array and capacitively decoupled array loaded with a human
Fig. 5. MR images of the ICE-decoupled transceiver array, where one element was used for transmission/reception and the other elements were terminated with 50 Ω terminators. GRE images were acquired using this transceiver array. Imaging parameters: FA = 15 deg, TR = 8.6 ms, TE = 4 ms, FOV = 280 × 280 mm², matrix = 460 × 512, slice thickness = 3 mm, bandwidth = 260 Hz/pixel, phase encoding is in the y direction.

Fig. 6. MR images of individual elements. A single coil element was used for transmission and reception with the other coil elements removed. The experimental setup, including the phantom, the position of elements and imaging parameters, was the same as that used in the ICE decoupling experiment shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 7. Comparison of B1 maps of individual elements and decoupled array elements. The top row is B1 maps of individual elements and the bottom row is B1 maps of decoupled array elements. The B1 maps were calculated using the Turbo FLASH method and scaled to angle. B1 maps show a similar distribution pattern between the individual elements and decoupled array elements. This demonstrates that the influence of other coil elements to the measured element can be ignored. In other words, the coupling between other coil elements and the measured elements is sufficiently small.

B1 map comparison between individual elements and decoupled array elements. The image profiles and B1 maps show similar distribution patterns between the individual elements and decoupled array elements. This demonstrates that the influence of other coil elements on the measured element can be ignored. In other words, the coupling between other coil elements and the measured elements is sufficiently small.

head were measured and results are shown in Fig. 4. For the ICE-decoupled array, the average $Q_{UL}$ and $Q_L$ of a single element were 120 and 46, respectively. The measured reflection coefficients ($s_{11}$) of all elements were better than $-30$ dB. The transmission coefficient $s_{21}$ between adjacent coil elements was better than $-25$ dB. The isolation between two next adjacent coil elements was better than $-17$ dB and the isolation between two opposite elements was better $-20$ dB. For the conventional capacitively decoupled array, the average $Q_L$ of a single element was 38. $Q_{UL}$ could not be calculated because the resonance peak split with unloading. The transmission coefficient $s_{21}$ between adjacent coil elements ranged from $-15.2$ dB to $-19.5$ dB because of the variations in the sample-element distance. The isolation between next adjacent coil elements was about $-16$ dB, and the isolation between two opposite elements was about $-20$ dB.

The measured reflection coefficient $s_{11}$ of the entire coil loaded with a human head was approximately $-22.7$ dB. The impedance of the entire coil did not deviate from $50 \Omega$ because the coupling between the coil elements was sufficiently small. This indicates that less than 1% of the power was reflected and the transmission efficiency of the entire coil was high.

B. MRI Phantom Imaging

Figs. 5 and 6 show water phantom images acquired from individual elements and decoupled array elements. Fig. 7 shows the
the measured elements is sufficiently small. Destructive interference wave patterns can be observed in these images, which lead to the cancellation in the center of the phantom.

C. Human Head Imaging, SNR and Parallel Imaging

Multi-slice images of a human head from a healthy volunteer were acquired at 7T using a hyper-echo TSE sequence (acceleration factor = 3) and the ICE-decoupled phased array, as shown in Fig. 8. All of the images were reconstructed from raw data without any postprocessing. Individual GRE images from each coil element and their combinations with the SOS (sum of squares) method in transverse and sagittal planes are shown in Fig. 9(a) and (c). Corresponding intensity corrected images are shown in Fig. 9(b) and (d). These images indicate that the ICE decoupling technique is feasible in designing cylindrical volume transceiver arrays for human head imaging at the ultrahigh field of 7T. Enough coverage of this coil in the z-direction allows a sufficient SNR to be attained in the cerebellum and temporal lobe.

Fig. 10 shows the comparison of the SNR of human head images for the ICE-decoupled array and the conventional capacitively decoupled array at 7T. In the human head images acquired from these two coil arrays, the local SNR at four peripheral areas and the center of the brain were calculated and compared. Both arrays displayed a similar SNR pattern, with higher SNR at the peripheral areas of the brain due to a higher receive sensitivity in these regions. The measurement reveals that the ICE-decoupled array has an overall SNR gain of 13% over the capacitively decoupled array. This gain might result from improved element decoupling and the shielding effect of the decoupling elements.

Accelerated parallel images of the human head using the ICE-decoupled array at reduction factors (R) of 1 (no acceleration), 2, 3, and 4 in the sagittal plane are shown in the top row of Fig. 11. High quality images can be obtained even at an acceleration factor of 4 for this 8-element array, which indicates that the ICE-decoupled volume coil array possess excellent parallel imaging capability. G-factor maps of the ICE-decoupled array and capacitively decoupled array were obtained with different reduction factors of 2, 3, and 4, which are displayed in the middle and bottom row of Fig. 11, respectively. The average g-factors of the ICE-decoupled array at R = 2, 3, and 4 were 1.03, 1.04, and 1.14, respectively, whereas the average g-factors of the capacitively decoupled array at R = 2, 3, and 4 were 1.03, 1.06, and 1.20, respectively. The g-factors of the ICE-decoupled array were relatively lower (or better), especially at higher reduction factors, e.g., R = 4. This improvement can also be verified by the comparison of s-parameter matrices as described above. In parallel imaging, the SNR of accelerated images is inversely proportional to the g-factor [8]. Therefore, the results of the g-factor measurements suggest that better quality accelerated images can be achieved by using the ICE-decoupled transceiver coil array over the conventional capacitively decoupled array.
IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

An eight-channel loop array for 7T human head imaging using induced current compensation decoupling (or magnetic wall) method was designed and the feasibility of this coil array has been validated through bench tests and MRI imaging experiments of both phantom and human subjects. Traditional loops with six distributed capacitors were placed between two adjacent coil elements as the decoupling elements. The resonance frequency of the decoupling loops (∼370 MHz) is far from the proton Larmor frequency at 7T. Therefore, the influence of decoupling loops on MR signal excitation and detection at 7T is negligible. Coupling between two next adjacent coil elements and opposite elements was sufficiently small (better than -17 dB and -20 dB, respectively) even though no extra decoupling method was applied. The input impedance of this coil array at the input port of the power combiner was well matched to 50 Ω, leading to less than 1% power reflection during transmission.

Compared with the conventional capacitively decoupled array, the ICE-decoupled array shows better isolation between adjacent coil elements (Fig. 4). The ICE-decoupled array also shows higher SNR than the capacitively decoupled array, with an overall gain of 13%. This might be due to its better decoupling performance and the shielding effect of the decoupling elements. In addition, the ICE–decoupled array demonstrated improved parallel imaging capability that the average g-factor of a human head in the sagittal plane was as low as 1.14 when the acceleration factor achieved 4. Furthermore, the ICE decoupling method is not sensitive to the load. As such, decoupling loops do not need to be readjusted for different loads (in this work, water phantom and human head) to achieve acceptable decoupling performance. Finally, the ICE-decoupled array is not physical connected between the decoupling loops and coil array elements, which will potentially provide a mechanically robust structure as flexible transceiver arrays.

For in vivo human experiments, preliminary human head images were acquired and demonstrated using this coil array at 7T. The SNR at the central area of the head can be further improved by manipulating the width of the decoupling loops and coil elements. From the parallel imaging results and g-factor maps, no significant image reconstruction related noise amplifications were observed for parallel imaging with acceleration factors of 2, 3, and 4. The ICE decoupling method has demonstrated its feasibility of designing large-sized volume-type transceiver arrays for human head parallel imaging at ultrahigh fields. Further studies are needed to analyze the size, shape, and position of decoupling loops to have an optimal sensitivity and image coverage.
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